

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/038,554

Applicant(s)

CAPUTO ET AL.

Examiner

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1626

## All Participants:

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(2) F. Michael Sajovec {Reg. No. 31,793}

## Status of Application: 71

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 21 January 2004

Time: 4:06pm

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5 and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The Examiner called Applicants' representative for permission to correct 35 USC 112, second paragraph problems.*

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)